

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

Buku

- Alcaide Muñoz, L. (n.d.). *International e-government development*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Anderson, D., Wu, R., Cho, J.-S., & Schroeder, K. (2015). *E-government strategy, ICT and innovation for citizen engagement*. Springer.
- Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2018). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (5th ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Darussalam, D., & Kristiaji, B. B. (2022). *Desain sistem perpajakan Indonesia: Teori, konsep, dan implementasi*. DDTC Publishing.
- Falk, S., Römmele, A., & Silverman, M. (Eds.). (2018). *Digital government: Leveraging innovation to improve public sector performance and outcomes for citizens* (Reprint ed.). Springer.
- Hair, J. F., Jr., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2022). *A primer on partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM)* (3rd ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Hood, C., & Dixon, R. (2015). *A government that worked better and cost less? Evaluating three decades of reform and change in UK central government*. Oxford University Press.
- Mahmood, Z. (Ed.). (2013). *Developing e-government projects: Frameworks and methodologies*. IGI Global.
- Mirfan, A., Sutrisno, T., & Rachmawati, Y. (2025). *E-government dan digitalisasi layanan publik*. Kita Menulis.
- Neuman, W. L. (2014). *Social research methods: Qualitative and quantitative approaches* (7th ed.). Pearson Education.
- Ponnusamy, V., et al. (Eds.). (2020). *Employing recent technologies for improved digital governance*. IGI Global.
- Rochmat, D. (2021). *Pajak digital: Konsep, kebijakan, dan praktik perpajakan ekonomi digital di Indonesia*. Penerbit Andi.
- Rodríguez-Bolívar, M. P. (Ed.). (2015). *Transforming city governments for successful smart cities*. Springer.
- United Nations. (2020). *Global trends in e-government: United Nations e-*

government survey 2020. United Nations Publications.

Valcik, N. A., & Benavides, T. J. (2023). Human resources information systems: A guide for public administrators. Springer.

Welch, E. W. (Ed.). (2021). Research handbook on e-government. Edward Elgar Publishing.

Jurnal

Abu-Silake, S. A., Alshurafat, H., Alaqrabawi, M., & Shehadeh, M. (2024). Exploring the key factors influencing the actual usage of digital tax platforms. *Discover Sustainability*, 5(1). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43621-024-00241-2>

Adams, S. O., & Paul, C. (2023). E-government development indices and the attainment of United Nations sustainable development goals in Africa: A cross-sectional data analysis. *European Journal of Sustainable Development Research*, 7(4), em0234. <https://doi.org/10.29333/ejosdr/13576>

Afonso, C. M., Roldán, J. L., Sánchez-Franco, M., & O, M. De. (2012). The moderator role of Gender in the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT): A study on users of Electronic Document Management Systems. *7th International Conference on Partial Least Squares and Related Methods, January 2012*, 1–8.

Al-Fraihat, D., Joy, M., Masa'deh, R., & Sinclair, J. (2020). Evaluating E-learning systems success: An empirical study. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 102(June 2019), 67–86. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2019.08.004>

Al-Gahtani, S. S., Hubona, G. S., & Wang, J. (2007). Information technology (IT) in Saudi Arabia: Culture and the acceptance and use of IT. *Information and Management*, 44(8), 681–691. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2007.09.002>

Al-Okaily, M. (2024a). Advancements and forecasts of digital taxation information systems usage and its impact on tax compliance: does trust and awareness make difference? *Journal of Financial Reporting and Accounting*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JFRA-09-2023-0567>

Al-Okaily, M. (2024b). Implications of the COVID-19 pandemic on continuance usage of electronic tax declaration platforms: extending classical UTAUT model. *Digital Policy, Regulation and Governance*, 26(6), 640–658. <https://doi.org/10.1108/DPRG-08-2023-0116>

- Alawadhi, S., & Morris, A. (2008). The use of the UTAUT model in the adoption of e-government services in Kuwait. *Proceedings of the Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences, January 2008*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/HICSS.2008.452>
- Alcaide Munoz, Laura. (n.d.). *International E-Government Development*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Aritonang, D. M. (2017). The Impact of E-Government System on Public Service Quality in Indonesia. *European Scientific Journal, ESJ, 13(35)*, 99. <https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2017.v13n35p99>
- Balatsky, E. V. (2019). Global challenges of the fourth industrial revolution. *Terra Economicus, 17(2)*, 6–22. <https://doi.org/10.23683/2073-6606-2019-17-2-6-22>
- Baptista, G., & Oliveira, T. (2015). *Computers in Human Behavior Understanding mobile banking: The unified theory of acceptance and use of technology combined with cultural moderators*. 50, 418–430. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2015.04.024>
- Barclay, et.al. (1995). The Partial Least Squares (PLS) Approach to Causal Modeling, Personal Computer Adoption and Use as an Illustration. *Technology Studies, 2(2)*, 296–297.
- Carter, L., & Belanger, F. (2004). *Citizen Adoption of Electronic Government Initiatives*. 00(C), 1–10.
- Charisma, J. A. (2020). Analisis minat dan perilaku pengguna e-Wallet: Perluasan UTAUT 2 dengan budaya sebagai moderasi: Studi pada Mahasiswa di Kota Malang Etheses of Maulana Malik Ibrahim State Islamic University. In *Universitas Islam Negeri (UIN) Maulana Malik Ibrahim*. <http://etheses.uin-malang.ac.id/18709/>
- Chauhan, S., & Jaiswal, M. (2016). Determinants of acceptance of ERP software training in business schools : Empirical investigation using UTAUT model. *International Journal of Management Education, 14(3)*, 248–262. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijme.2016.05.005>
- Cohen, J. (1988). Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences (2nd ed.). In *Mahwah: Lawrence Erlbaum* (pp. 279–284).

- Dulle, F. W., & Minishi-Majanja, M. K. (2011). The suitability of the unified theory of acceptance and use of technology (utaut) model in open access adoption studies. *Information Development*, 27(1), 32–45. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0266666910385375>
- Durak, H. Y. (2018). Examining the acceptance and use of online social networks by preservice teachers within the context of unified theory of acceptance and use of technology model. In *Journal of Computing in Higher Education* (Issue 0123456789). Springer US. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12528-018-9200-6>
- Fornell, C., & Larcker, D. F. (1981). Evaluating Structural Equation Models with Unobservable Variables and Measurement Error. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 18(1), 39–50. <https://doi.org/10.1177/002224378101800104>
- Gabrielova, K., & Buchko, A. A. (2021). Here comes Generation Z: Millennials as managers. *Business Horizons*, 64(4), 489–499. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2021.02.013>
- Garber, L. L., Hyatt, E. M., & Ünal, O. (2017). Gender differences in learning preferences among participants of serious business games. *International Journal of Management Education*, 15(2), 11–29. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijme.2017.02.001>
- Geisser, S. (1975). The predictive sample reuse method with applications. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 70(350), 320–328. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01621459.1975.10479865>
- Ghozali, I., & Latan, H. (2015). *Partial Least Squares Konsep, Teknik, Dan Aplikasi Menggunakan Program SmartPLS 3.0 Untuk Penelitian Empiris* (2nd ed.). Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponegoro.
- Goodhue, D. L., Lewis, W., & Thompson, R. (2012). *ISSUES AND OPINIONS COMPARING PLS TO REGRESSION AND LISREL : A RESPONSE TO MARCOULIDES, CHIN, AND SAUNDERS Comparison Across Approaches : Our Detailed Response to Specific*. 36(3), 1–10.
- Gulo, W. (2002). *Metodologi Penelitian*. Gramedia Widiasarana Indonesia.
- Hair, J. F., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C. M., Sarstedt, M., Danks, N. P., & Ray, S. (2021). *An Introduction to Structural Equation Modeling*. 1–29. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-80519-7_1

- Hair, J. F., Matthews, L. M., Matthews, R. L., & Sarstedt, M. (2017a). PLS-SEM or CB-SEM: Updated guidelines on which method to use. *International Journal of Multivariate Data Analysis*, *1*(2), 107.
- Hair, J. F., Matthews, L. M., Matthews, R. L., & Sarstedt, M. (2017b). Updated guidelines on which method to use. *International Journal of Multivariate Data Analysis*, *1*(2), 107.
- Hair, J. F., Risher, J. J., Sarstedt, M., & Ringle, C. M. (2019). When to use and how to report the results of PLS-SEM. *European Business Review*, *31*(1), 2–24. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EBR-11-2018-0203>
- Henseler, J., Hubona, G., & Ray, P. A. (2016). Using PLS path modeling in new technology research: Updated guidelines. *Industrial Management and Data Systems*, *116*(1), 2–20. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IMDS-09-2015-0382>
- Henseler, J., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2015). A new criterion for assessing discriminant validity in variance-based structural equation modeling. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, *43*(1), 115–135. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-014-0403-8>
- Hermanto, A. H., Windasari, N. A., & Purwanegara, M. S. (2022). Taxpayers ' adoption of online tax return reporting : extended meta-UTAUT model perspective Taxpayers ' adoption of online tax return reporting : extended meta-UTAUT model perspective. *Cogent Business & Management*, *9*(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2022.2110724>
- Hoque, R., & Sorwar, G. (2017). Understanding Factors Influencing the Adoption of mHealth by the Elderly : An Extension of the UTAUT Model. *International Journal of Medical Informatics*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2017.02.002>
- Hussain, A. (2022). A descriptive Analysis and Interpretation of Data from Likert Scales in Educational and Psychological Research. *Indian Journal of Psychology and Education*, *12*(2), 13–16.
- Jacob Cohen. (1988). Set Correlation and Contingency Tables. *Applied Psychological Measurement*, *12*(4), 425–434. <https://conservancy.umn.edu/server/api/core/bitstreams/a0f17521-b2ce-464f-aea6-de0c3e602981/content>

- Jahanshahi, A. A., Zhang, S. X., & Brem, A. (2013). *E-Commerce for SMEs : Empirical insights from Three Countries E-commerce for SMEs : empirical insights from three countries. October*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JSBED-03-2012-0039>
- John, & Sons., W. &. (2018). *Society 5.0: industry of the future, technologies, methods and tools*.
- Kabir, M. R. (2021). *Behavioural intention to adopt blockchain for a transparent and effective taxing system*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JGOSS-08-2020-0050>
- Kabra, G., Ramesh, A., Akhtar, P., & Dash, M. K. (2017). Understanding behavioural intention to use information technology: Insights from humanitarian practitioners. *Telematics and Informatics*, 34(7), 1250–1261. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tele.2017.05.010>
- Kim, S., Lee, K., Hwang, H., & Yoo, S. (2016). Analysis of the factors influencing healthcare professionals ' adoption of mobile electronic medical record (EMR) using the unified theory of acceptance and use of technology (UTAUT) in a tertiary hospital. *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making*, 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12911-016-0249-8>
- Lienggaard, B. D., Sharma, P. N., Hult, G. T. M., Jensen, M. B., Sarstedt, M., Hair, J. F., & Ringle, C. M. (2021). Prediction: Coveted, Yet Forsaken? Introducing a Cross-Validated Predictive Ability Test in Partial Least Squares Path Modeling. *Decision Sciences*, 52(2), 362–392. <https://doi.org/10.1111/deci.12445>
- Lu, Y., Zhou, T., & Wang, B. (2009). Exploring Chinese users' acceptance of instant messaging using the theory of planned behavior, the technology acceptance model, and the flow theory. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 25(1), 29–39. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2008.06.002>
- Lund, B. (2021). The fourth industrial revolution. *Information Technology and Libraries*, 40(1), 19–24. <https://doi.org/10.6017/ITAL.V40I1.13193>
- Maizatul Haizan Mahbob, Mohammed Zin Nordin, & Wan Idros Wan Sulaiman. (2011). Innovation of Malaysian Public Services Through E-Government: an Empirical Study on E-Service Acceptance in Klang Valley. *Jurnal Komunikasi ; Malaysian Journal of Communication*, 27(1), 18–33.

- Makwana, D., Engineer, P., Dabhi, A., & Chudasama, H. (2023). Sampling methods in research: A review. *International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development*, 7(3), 762–768.
- Mandala, E., Setyadiharja, R., Sujono, A., & Handaresta, B. P. (2022). Analisis Penyelenggaraan Sistem Aplikasi E-Samsat Kepri Dalam Pembayaran Pajak Kendaraan Bermotor Oleh Badan Pengelolaan Pajak Dan Retribusi Daerah Provinsi Kepulauan Riau. *Jurnal Ilmu Sosial Dan Ilmu Politik Raja Haji (JISIPOL)*, 3(2), 759–772. <https://journal.stisipolrajahaji.ac.id/index.php/jisipol/article/view/70>
- Mhina, J. R. A., & Lashayo, D. M. (2024). Examining the influence of online service usage habits on long-term intention to use virtual fiscal device systems in Tanzania: a taxpayer's perspective. *International Journal of Electronic Governance*, 16(1), 52–73. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJEG.2024.138441>
- Mishra, S. B., & Alok, S. (2022). Handbook of Research Methodology. *Educreation Publishing*, 9(1), 28.
- Orhan, S., & Karada, E. (2015). *Computers & Education The factors affecting acceptance and use of interactive whiteboard within the scope of FATIH project: A structural equation model based on the Unified Theory of acceptance and use of technology*. 81. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2014.10.009>
- Paramita, P. M. A. P., Wijaya, K. A. S., & Yударtha, I. P. D. (2024). Efektivitas Pelayanan Sistem Administrasi Manunggal Satu Atap Ke Rumah Tinggal (SAMSAT KERTI) Dalam Meningkatkan Kepatuhan Wajib Pajak (Studi Kasus: Kantor Bersama Samsat Gianyar). *Ethics and Law Journal: Business and Notary*, 2(1), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.61292/eljbn.148>
- Petter, S. (2018). “Haters Gonna Hate”: PLS and Information Systems Research. *ACM SIGMIS Database: The DATABASE for Advances in Information Systems*, 49(2), 10–13. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3229335.3229337>
- Putri, G. A., Widagdo, A. K., & Setiawan, D. (2023). Analysis of financial technology acceptance of peer to peer lending (P2P lending) using extended technology acceptance model (TAM). *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, 9(1), 100027.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joitmc.2023.100027>

- Rigdon, E. E. (2016). Choosing PLS path modeling as analytical method in European management research: A realist perspective. *European Management Journal*, 34(6), 598–605. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.emj.2016.05.006>
- Salloum, S. A., Al-emran, M., Shaalan, K., & Tarhini, A. (2018). *Factors affecting the E-learning acceptance : A case study from UAE*.
- Sarstedt, M., Ringle, C. M., & Hair, J. F. (2020). Handbook of Market Research. In *Handbook of Market Research* (Issue July). <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-05542-8>
- Saunders, C. (2015). *Editor ' s comments : PLS : a silver bullet ?* 30(July).
- Schwab, K. (2018). The Global Competitiveness Report. <https://www.weforum.org/reports/the-global-competitiveness-report-2018>. In *World Economic Forum*.
- Sharifian, R., Askarian, F., Nematollahi, M., & Farhadi, P. (2014). *Factors influencing nurses ' acceptance of hospital information systems in Iran : application of the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology*. 43(3).
- Sugiyono, P. (2018). *Quantitative, qualitative, and R&D research methods*.
- Suki, N. M., & Suki, N. M. (2017). Determining students' behavioural intention to use animation and storytelling applying the UTAUT model: The moderating roles of gender and experience level. *International Journal of Management Education*, 15(3), 528–538. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijme.2017.10.002>
- Szajna, B. (1996). *Empirical Evaluation of the Revised Technology Acceptance Model*. December 2016.
- Taherdoost, H. (2019). What Is the Best Response Scale for Survey and Questionnaire Design; Review of Different Lengths of Rating Scale / Attitude Scale / Likert Scale. *International Journal of Academic Research in Management (IJARM)*, 8(1), 2296–1747.
- Taherdoost, H. (2021). Data Collection Methods and Tools for Research; A Step-by-Step Guide to Choose Data Collection Technique for Academic and Business Research Projects Hamed Taherdoost. Data Collection Methods and Tools for Research; A Step-by-Step Guide to Choose Data Coll. *International Journal of Academic Research in Management (IJARM)*, 2021(1), 10–38.

taylor todd.pdf. (n.d.).

Venkatesh, V. (2012). *CONSUMER ACCEPTANCE AND USE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY: EXTENDING THE UNIFIED THEORY*. 36(1), 157–178.

Venkatesh, V., & Davis, F. (2000). A theoretical extension of the technology acceptance model: Four longitudinal field studies University of Maryland at College Park. *Management Science*, 46(2), 186–204.

Venkatesh, V., Morris, M. G., Davis, G. B., & Davis, F. D. (2003). User acceptance of information technology: Toward a unified view. *MIS Quarterly: Management Information Systems*, 27(3), 425–478. <https://doi.org/10.2307/30036540>

Venkatesh, V., Thong, J. Y. L., Statistics, B., Xu, X., & Acceptance, T. (2016). *Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology: A Synthesis and the Road Ahead*. 17(5), 328–376.

Wijaya, A. (2019). *Metode Penelitian Menggunakan Smart PLS 03*.

Woldemariam Birru, M. (2022). Factors affecting the adoption and usage of electronic tax system in Ethiopia in the case of Addis Ababa city large taxpayers. *African Journal of Science, Technology, Innovation and Development*, 14(7), 1896–1907. <https://doi.org/10.1080/20421338.2021.1988412>

Wong, K., Russo, S., & McDowall, J. (2012). Understanding early childhood student teachers' acceptance and use of interactive whiteboard. *Campus-Wide Information Systems*, 30(1), 4–16. <https://doi.org/10.1108/10650741311288788>

Zhang, Y., Fang, Y., Wei, K. K., & Wang, Z. (2012). Promoting the intention of students to continue their participation in e-learning systems: The role of the communication environment. *Information Technology & People*, 25(4), 356–375. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09593841211278776>

Zhou, T., Lu, Y., & Wang, B. (2010). Computers in Human Behavior Integrating TTF and UTAUT to explain mobile banking user adoption. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 26(4), 760–767. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2010.01.013>